## On Unknown Input Observers for LTI and LPV systems

#### Dalil Ichalal and Saïd Mammar

IBISC-Lab Université d'Evry-Val-d'Essonne - Université Paris Saclay

GDR MACS - GT S3 - Paris, France.





Image: A math a math

March 5th 2020

### 1 Asymptotic Decoupling Approach

- Problem Statement
- Unknown Input Observer: Asymptotic decoupling notion
- Simulation results: Discrete-time case
- Simulation results: Continuous-time case

#### 2 Unknown Input Observer for LPV Systems

- Problem Statement
- LPV UIO: Proposed solution
- Simulation results

#### 3 Conclusion and perspectives

Image: A math a math

### 1 Asymptotic Decoupling Approach

- Problem Statement
- Unknown Input Observer: Asymptotic decoupling notion
- Simulation results: Discrete-time case
- Simulation results: Continuous-time case

#### 2 Unknown Input Observer for LPV Systems

- Problem Statement
- LPV UIO: Proposed solution
- Simulation results

#### 3 Conclusion and perspectives

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Ed_k$$
(1)  
$$y_k = Cx_k$$
(2)

with:

- $d_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ : Unknown Input vector
- $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ : Known Input vector
- $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ : Measured output vector
- $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ : Unmeasured state vector
- A, B, E and C are known real matrices with appropriate dimensions

## Objective

Estimate asymptotically the state x(t) from only the knowledge of  $u_k$  and  $y_k$  and the model in the presence of unknoon inputs  $d_k$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Ed_k$$
(3)  

$$y_k = Cx_k$$
(4)

### Classical Unknown Input Observer

$$z_{k+1} = N z_k + G u_k + L y_k \tag{5}$$

$$\hat{x}_k = z_k - H y_k \tag{6}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Find the matrices N, G, L and H in order to:

- **(**) decouple the state estimation error from  $d_k$
- 2 ensure  $e_k \rightarrow 0$  when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= Ax_k + Bu_k + Ed_k \\ y_k &= Cx_k \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

### Classical Unknown Input Observer

$$z_{k+1} = N z_k + G u_k + L y_k \tag{9}$$

$$\hat{x}_k = z_k - H y_k \tag{10}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

The state estimation error dynamics is:

$$e_{k+1} = Ne_k + \underbrace{(PA - LC - N - NHC)}_{=0} x_k + \underbrace{(PB - G)}_{=0} u_k + \underbrace{PE}_{=0} d_k$$
$$P = I + HC$$

#### Classical Unknown Input Observer

N = PA - LC - NHCPB - G = 0PE = 0P = I + HC

### Necessary and Sufficient existence conditions (Darouach et al 1994, IEEE TAC)

- rank(CE) = rank(E)
- All invariant zeros of the triplet (A, C, E) are located inside the unit circle (Stable invariant zeros)

#### Problem

() If the internal dynamics are slow  $\rightarrow$  the error dynamics is considerably affected

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Ed_k \tag{11}$$

$$y_k = C x_k \tag{12}$$

Image: A math the second se

## Objective

How to estimate asymptotically the state  $x_k$  and enhance the convergence rate in the presence of stable but sable invariant zeros

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + E\frac{d_k}{d_k} \tag{13}$$

$$y_k = C x_k \tag{14}$$

#### Asymptotic Decoupling notion

The condition (I + HC)E = 0 is replaced by  $(I + M_k C)E \rightarrow 0$  when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ 

#### Assumptions

- rank(CE) = rank(E)
- The pair (A, C) is observable
- *d<sub>k</sub>* is bounded

 $\rightarrow$  How to do it in order to preserve the observability at least in a short interval time?

→ Solution: Asymptotic decoupling

Ichalal and Mammar IEEE TAC 2020 (Continuous-time), IEEE Control Letters

2020, IEEE CDC 2019 (Discrete-Time)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• The proposed LTV observer has the form:

$$z_{k+1} = N_k z_k + G_k u_k + L_k y_k$$
(15)

$$\hat{x}_k = z_k - M_k y_k \tag{16}$$

The matrices  $N_k$ ,  $G_k$ ,  $L_k$  and  $M_k$  are time-dependent matrices which will be defined later in order to ensure asymptotic convergence of the state estimation error  $e_k = x_k - \hat{x}_k$ .

#### Choice of $H_k$

The matrix  $H_k$  is chosen in such a way that when  $k \to +\infty$ , it converges to M and defined by

$$M_{k} = (1 - f(k)) H = \left(1 - \rho \alpha^{k}\right) H$$
(17)

(日)

f(k) is a time decreasing function which converges to zero (i.e.  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). *H* is computed in the same way as in the classical UIO. • The state estimation error is defined by the equation

$$e_k = x_k - \hat{x}_k \tag{18}$$

$$= \underbrace{(I+M_kC)}_{P_k} x_k - z_k \tag{19}$$

• its dynamics obeys to the following difference equation

$$e_{k+1} = (P_{k+1}A - N_kP_k - L_kC)x_k + (P_{k+1}B - G_k)u_k + P_{k+1}Ed_k + N_ke_k$$
(20)

• Under the conditions

$$P_{k} = I + M_{k}C$$

$$P_{k+1}A - N_{k}P_{k} - L_{k}C = 0$$

$$P_{k+1}B - G_{k} = 0$$

are satisfied  $\forall k$ , the state estimation error dynamics is reduced to

$$e_{k+1} = N_k e_k + S_k d_k \tag{21}$$

• State estimation error dynamics is:

$$e_{k+1} = N_k e_k + S_k d_k \tag{22}$$

where:

• 
$$S_k = P_{k+1}E$$
  
•  $N_k = P_{k+1}A - K_kC$   
•  $K_k = L_k + N_kM_k$   
•  $P_{k+1} = I + HC - \rho\alpha\alpha^k HC$ 

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} S_k = \lim_{k \to +\infty} (I + HC)E - \rho \alpha \alpha^k HCE$$
(23)

$$= \lim_{k \to +\infty} (-\rho \alpha \alpha^k HCE) = 0$$
 (24)

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

• Error dynamics:

$$e_{k+1} = N_k e_k + S_k d_k \tag{25}$$

• Polytopic transformation:

$$e_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} h_i(k) ((A_i - T_i C) e_k + S_i d_k)$$
(26)

where

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{H}C\mathcal{A} - \rho\alpha\mathcal{H}C\mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{H}C\mathcal{A}$$
(27)

$$S_1 = -\rho \alpha HCE, \quad S_2 = 0$$
 (28)

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

#### Theorem

Given positive scalars  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  such that  $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{1+\mu}$ . For  $\tau_1 = \eta (1+\mu)$  and  $\tau_2 = \eta$ , if there exist symmetric and positive definite matrices  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $G_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , gains matrices  $\overline{T}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_y}$ , i = 1, 2 and a positive scalar  $\gamma$  such that the following LMIs hold

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\tau_{1}-1)X & \mathcal{A}_{1}^{T}G_{1}^{T}-C^{T}\bar{T}_{1}^{T} & \Gamma_{1} \\ G_{1}\mathcal{A}_{1}-\bar{T}_{1}C & X-2G_{1} & 0 \\ \Gamma_{1}^{T} & 0 & \Omega_{1} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(29)

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\tau_2 - 1)X & \mathcal{A}_2^T \mathcal{G}_2^T - \mathcal{C}^T \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2^T \\ \mathcal{G}_2 \mathcal{A}_2 - \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2 \mathcal{C} & X - 2\mathcal{G}_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(30)

$$\Gamma_{1} = (\mathcal{A}_{1}^{T} G_{1}^{T} - C^{T} \bar{T}_{1}^{T}) S_{1}, \quad \Omega_{1} = 2S_{1}^{T} G_{1} S_{1} - \gamma S_{1}^{T} S_{1}$$
(31)

then the state estimation error converges asymptotically towards zero.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & -0.3847 & 0.7036 \\ 0 & 0.7 & 0.5468 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.8 \end{bmatrix}, E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3518 & -0.2734 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The pair (A, C) is observable and the invariant zeros of the system are located at  $z_1 = 0.995$  and  $z_2 = 0.999$ .

$$H = -E(CE)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

The function  $f_k$  is defined by  $f_k = 0.993^k$  according to Definition ??. The parameter  $\eta$  should be chosen such that

$$\max_{i=1,2} \left( |z_i| \right) < 1-\eta < 1$$

Image: A math the second se



Figure: Comparison of state estimations: Proposed LTV UIO and classical LTI UIO

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・



Figure: Comparison of state estimation errors: Proposed LTV UIO and classical LTI UIO

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・



Figure: Time evolution of the eigenvalues of the matrix  $N_k$ 

D. Ichalal (IBISC)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Consider the Linear model of a DC Motor described by:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Ed, \quad y = Cx$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{R}{L} & 0 & -\frac{a}{L} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{b}{m} & -\frac{k}{m} & -\frac{f}{m} \end{bmatrix}, E = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{L} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . The existence conditions of the classical design are satisfied and the invariant zeros are  $-0.05 \pm i9.999$ .

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨ

## Simulation results: Continuous-time case



Figure: Real states (blue) and estimations (red)

D.	Ichalal	(IBISC)
		(

**(口)** 

### Simulation results: Continuous-time case



Figure: State estimation error comparison

D. Ichalal (IBISC)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

#### Asymptotic Decoupling Approach

- Problem Statement
- Unknown Input Observer: Asymptotic decoupling notion
- Simulation results: Discrete-time case
- Simulation results: Continuous-time case

#### 2 Unknown Input Observer for LPV Systems

- Problem Statement
- LPV UIO: Proposed solution
- Simulation results

#### 3 Conclusion and perspectives

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

## LPV system affected by Unknown Inputs

$$\dot{x} = A(\rho)x + B(\rho)u + E(\rho)d, \quad y = C(\rho)x$$

### Classical approach

• Polytopic transformation at the beginning

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( A_i x + B_i u + E_i d \right), \quad y = C x$$

• The UIO uses the same polytopic form:

$$\dot{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( N_i x + G_i u + L_i d \right), \quad \hat{x} = z - Hy$$

### Existing solutions

- Replacing  $E_i$  by  $E^*$  solution to min<sub>i</sub>  $||E^* E_i||_F$  (Rodriguez and Theilliol 2005)
- $E(\rho)d = E_1\overline{E}(\rho)d = E_1f$  (Alwi and Edwards 2014)
- $rank(C[E_1...E_r]) = rank([E_1...E_r])$  (Marx and Ragot 2007, Chadli and Karimi 2013)

## LPV system affected by Unknown Inputs

$$\dot{x} = A(\rho)x + B(\rho)u + E(\rho)d, \quad y = C(\rho)x$$

## Classical approach

• Polytopic transformation at the beginning

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( A_i x + B_i u + E_i d \right), \quad y = C x$$

• The UIO uses the same polytopic form:

$$\dot{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( N_i x + G_i u + L_i d \right), \quad \hat{x} = z - H_y$$

State estimation error dynamics  $e = x - \hat{x}$ 

$$\dot{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( N_i e + \underbrace{(PA_i - L_i C - N_i P)}_{=0} x + \underbrace{(PB_i - G_i)}_{=0} u + \underbrace{PE_i}_{=0} d \right)$$

D. Ichalal (IBISC)

Consider the LPV system affected by the unknown input d:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho(t) \\ 1 - \rho(t) & -3 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) \\ \rho(t) + 1 \end{pmatrix} d(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases}$$

with a bounded parameter:  $2 \le \rho(t) \le 4$ 

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

Consider the LPV system affected by the unknown input d:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho(t) \\ 1 - \rho(t) & -3 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) \\ \rho(t) + 1 \end{pmatrix} d(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases}$$

with a bounded parameter:  $2 \le \rho(t) \le 4$ By using the polytopic transformation,  $\rho(t) \in [2 \ 4]$ , it becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} h_i(\rho(t))(A_i x(t) + B_i u(t) + E_i d(t)) \\ y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases}$$

where the matrices are defined by:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -3 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \ B_1 = B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \ E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

• • • • • • • • • • •

$$\dot{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( N_i e + \left( PA_i - L_i C - N_i P \right) x + \left( PB_i - G_i \right) u - PE_i d \right) \\PE_i = \left( I + HC \right) E_i = 0 \Rightarrow E_i = -HCE_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \\N_i = PA_i - L_i C - N_i HC \\PB_i = G_i \\\Rightarrow \dot{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) N_i e$$

メロト メタト メヨト メヨ

$$\dot{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) \left( N_i e + \left( PA_i - L_i C - N_i P \right) x + \left( PB_i - G_i \right) u - PE_i d \right)$$

$$PE_i = (I + HC)E_i = 0 \Rightarrow E_i = -HCE_i, \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$N_i = PA_i - L_i C - N_i HC$$

$$PB_i = G_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(\rho) N_i e$$

Focus on the decoupling condition: Find H such that  $E_i = -HCE_i$ , i=1,2

- $rang(E_i) = rang(CE_i)$  is not sufficient
- rang  $([E_1 \ E_2]) = rang (C [E_1 \ E_2]) \Rightarrow E_i = -HCE_i$ , is not satisfied
- The UIO does not exist
- The idea is to postpone the polytopic transformation at the end of the design and ensure
   E(ρ(t)) = -H(?)CE(ρ(t)) instead of E<sub>i</sub> = -HCE<sub>i</sub>

(Ichalal IEEE TIE'15, IFAC ICONS'16, / Marx AUTOMATICA'19)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## LPV UIO: Proposed solution

• LPV system affected by Unknown Inputs:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = A(\rho)x + B(\rho)u + E(\rho)d\\ y = C(\rho)x \end{cases}$$

- Assumption :  $ho\in\Omega_
  ho$  et  $\dot
  ho\in\Omega_{\dot
  ho}$  known (measured) and bounded
- UIO:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = N(\rho, \dot{\rho})z + G(\rho)u + L(\rho, \dot{\rho})y \\ \hat{x} = z - H(\rho)y \end{cases}$$

Decoupling condition rang(C(ρ)E(ρ)) = rang(E(ρ)), ∀ρ ∈ Ω<sub>ρ</sub>

#### Extensions

- Relative degree  $1 < r \le n$ : Ichalal and Mammar IEEE TIE 2015
- Decay rate enhancement (stable but slow internal dynamics): Ichalal and Mammar IEEE TAC 2019 (Asymptotic Decoupling: lim<sub>t→+∞</sub> (I<sub>n</sub> + H(ρ, β)C(ρ)) = 0 instead of (I<sub>n</sub> + H(ρ, β)C(ρ)) = 0.)
- Taking into account errors in the estimation of parameter time derivatives OR unavailable time derivatives IEEE CDC 2019 Ichalal and Guerra
- Parameters depending partially on unmeasured state variables IEEE CDC 2019 Ichalal and Guerra

D. Ichalal (IBISC)

• Consider the LPV system with parameter varying output matrix:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho(t) \\ 1 - \rho(t) & -3 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t) \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) \\ \rho(t) + 1 \end{pmatrix} d(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases}$$

where the parameter is bounded:  $2 \le \rho(t) \le 4$ .

- UI decoupling with constant a matrix H is not possible
  - ▶ The classical LPV UIO does not exist

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

• Consider the LPV system with parameter varying output matrix:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho(t) \\ 1 - \rho(t) & -3 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u(t) \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) \\ \rho(t) + 1 \end{pmatrix} d(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases}$$

where the parameter is bounded:  $2 \le \rho(t) \le 4$ .

• UI decoupling with constant a matrix H is not possible

The classical LPV UIO does not exist

 The condition rank (E(ρ)) = rank (C(ρ)E(ρ)) is satisfied rank (E(ρ)) = 1 et rank (C(ρ)E(ρ)) = rank (ρ<sup>2</sup>(t)) = 1
 avec H(ρ) = [-1/ρ(t) - 1/ρ(t)]<sup>T</sup> The LPV UIO can be constructed

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## LPV UIO: Application to Motorcycle lateral dynamics



# Figure: Experimental Platform



Figure: Experimentation site and longitudinal velocity (Dabladji (2015) and Damon (2018))

## LPV UIO: Application to Motorcycle lateral dynamics



< □ > < 同 >

## LPV UIO: Application to Motorcycle lateral dynamics



(a) Angle de roulis mesuré (bleu) et estimé (rouge) (b)

(b) Accélération latérale mesurée (bleu) et estimée (rouge)

• • • • • • • • • •

#### Figure: Validation

#### Asymptotic Decoupling Approach

- Problem Statement
- Unknown Input Observer: Asymptotic decoupling notion
- Simulation results: Discrete-time case
- Simulation results: Continuous-time case

#### 2 Unknown Input Observer for LPV Systems

- Problem Statement
- LPV UIO: Proposed solution
- Simulation results

#### 3 Conclusion and perspectives

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

- New LTV Unknown Input Observer for LTI systems
  - Asymptotic Decoupling Approach: convergence rate enhancement
  - LMI stability conditions for design
- UIO for LPV systems with smooth parameters
  - Postponing the polytopic transformation

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Thank you for your attention Questions?

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イ